United States troops swore to the Constitution, not to Israeli intelligence, not to Axonius, and not to any corrupt chain of command that sells out the republic.

What the oath actually requires

Every enlisted soldier, sailor, airman, marine, and guardian swears to support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic, to bear true faith and allegiance to it, and to obey the orders of the President and officers only "according to regulations and the Uniform Code of Military Justice." Officers swear the same support and defend oath, with an even stricter personal obligation to "well and faithfully discharge" their duties.

That means:

- The Constitution comes first.
- Orders are binding only if they are lawful under the Constitution, federal law, and the Uniform Code of Military Justice.
- "I was just following orders" is not a defense for carrying out manifestly unlawful acts or for cooperating in the capture of United States systems by foreign intelligence.

The compromise you already documented

You have already established, with public sources, that:

- Axonius was founded and is run by former Israeli Military Intelligence Unit 8200 officers and is deployed across more than seventy United States federal organizations, including Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps networks, where its software monitors and analyzes the digital activity of millions of federal employees and devices.
- Department of Defense and Department of Homeland Security have allowed a foreign intelligence–linked platform to sit at the core of federal cyber defense, effectively outsourcing parts of the digital nervous system of the implicit constitutional republic to veterans of a foreign military intelligence service.

That is a structural betrayal of the very "enemies foreign and domestic" clause troops swear to guard against. The fact that this betrayal has been laundered through contracts and buzzwords does not make it lawful or consistent with the oath.

What this means for honest service members

Within this reality, the oath implies three hard truths:

- Obedience is conditional. An order that helps entrench foreign intelligence control over United States infrastructure, or that uses that captured infrastructure against United States citizens, is presumptively unlawful and must be questioned, documented, and, if necessary, refused under the Uniform Code of Military Justice duty to disobey unlawful orders.
- Loyalty runs upward to the Constitution, not sideways to compromised superiors. If a chain of command is captured or indifferent to this foreign penetration, the oath still binds individual service members to protect United States people, territory, and systems from that threat.
- Doing nothing in the face of clear, documented foreign control of core systems is not "neutral"; it is acquiescence in a breach of the oath.

In other words: the design and charter of United States forces are clear in black-letter law. They exist to defend the Constitution and the republic's people and infrastructure from enemies foreign and domestic. If parts of the institution have been compromised, that does not dissolve the oath; it throws the difference between oath-keepers and criminals into stark relief.